



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,320	02/17/2004	Jack E. Caveney	LCB432	1108
32915 7590 11/01/2007 PANDUIT CORP. LEGAL DEPARTMENT - TP12 17301 SOUTH RIDGELAND AVENUE TINLEY PARK, IL 60477			EXAMINER HANSEN, JAMES ORVILLE	
			ART UNIT 3637	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 11/01/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/780,320

**Applicant(s)**

CAVENEY ET AL.

**Examiner**

James O. Hansen

**Art Unit**

3637

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James O. Hansen.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. James Williams.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 October 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: claims of record - specifically claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Thiede et al., and Hansson.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



JAMES O. HANSEN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant requested an interview on the merits after the Final office action. Applicant inquired about the prior art to Thiede and Hansson and how the references were disclosed relative to claim 1. The examiner noted that both references appeared to define all the positively claimed elements as currently recited in claim 1. The examiner further noted that the last section of claim 1 might be viewed as being misdescriptive [indefinite] since it was not clear how the "cabinet" is moved from a closed position to an open position. Clarification concerning this limitation via an amendment could result in obviating the prior art to Thiede as a viable evidence reference. It appears that the prior art to Hansson is the closest related evidence reference, wherein amendments to the claims would need to be more substantial in scope so as to render this reference moot. The examiner suggested the incorporation of the duct (26) as integrated with the rear section and the cutout as one possible course of pursuit in that Hansson did not disclose such a feature [for anticipatory purposes]. Applicant may file an RCE in an effort to advance the prosecution of the application .